On the scattering equations in massless theories.

Carlos A. Cardona, NCTS-NTHU

NCTS-Hsinchu- Taiwan.

Based on arXiv:1509.08908 and arXiv:1511.05915 in collaboration with Chrysostomos Kalousios

1/16

F. Cachazo, S. He, E. Yuan proposal for tree-level S-matrix for a wide class of massless theories in several dimensions ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{n} = \int \frac{d^{n}\sigma}{\operatorname{vol} SL(2,\mathbb{C})} \prod_{a}^{\prime} \delta\Big(\sum_{\substack{b=1\\b\neq a}}^{n} \frac{(k_{a}+k_{b})^{2}}{\sigma_{a}-\sigma_{b}}\Big) \mathcal{I}_{n}(k,\epsilon,\tilde{\epsilon},\sigma)$$
$$\equiv \int d\mu_{n} \mathcal{I}_{n}(k,\epsilon,\tilde{\epsilon},\sigma),$$

The integral is completely localized at sol. of scattering equations (SE):

$$\sum_{\substack{b=1\\b\neq a}}^{n} \frac{(k_a + k_b)^2}{\sigma_a - \sigma_b} = 0$$

CHY have made several proposals for the $\mathcal{I}_n(k, \epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon}, \sigma)$, including: Gravity, YM, EM, EYM, DBI, NLSM YMS, ϕ^3 , ϕ^4 . L. Dolan and Goddard show an equivalent form for the SE,

$$h_m = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m} k_{1a_1 a_2 \dots a_m}^2 \sigma_{a_1} \sigma_{a_2} \dots \sigma_{a_m} = 0$$

where $k_{1a_1a_2...a_m}^2 = (k_1 + k_{a_2} + ... + k_{a_m})^2$. By SL(2, C) invariance, $\sigma_1 = \infty$, $\sigma_2 = 1$, $\sigma_n = 0$ and m = 1, 2, ... (n - 3).

Analytic solutions only up to n = 5. Our concern is too look for underlying mathematical structures in the SE which allows us to compute at general n. • By computing resultants, we eliminate all the variables but one of the polynomial SE, getting a polynomial in a single variable, namely σ_{n-1} . The order of this polynomial is (n-3)! according to Bézout theorem.

- By computing resultants, we eliminate all the variables but one of the polynomial SE, getting a polynomial in a single variable, namely σ_{n-1} . The order of this polynomial is (n-3)! according to Bézout theorem.
- Using elimination theory, we managed to found the solutions for the remaining variables σ_j , j = 3, ..., n-2 in terms of σ_{n-1} .

- By computing resultants, we eliminate all the variables but one of the polynomial SE, getting a polynomial in a single variable, namely σ_{n-1} . The order of this polynomial is (n-3)! according to Bézout theorem.
- Using elimination theory, we managed to found the solutions for the remaining variables σ_j , j = 3, ..., n-2 in terms of σ_{n-1} .
- Using Stickelberger theorem in conjunction with the two items above, we can encode the solutions of the SE in a single matrix, namely T_{σ}

- By computing resultants, we eliminate all the variables but one of the polynomial SE, getting a polynomial in a single variable, namely σ_{n-1} . The order of this polynomial is (n-3)! according to Bézout theorem.
- Using elimination theory, we managed to found the solutions for the remaining variables σ_j , j = 3, ..., n-2 in terms of σ_{n-1} .
- Using Stickelberger theorem in conjunction with the two items above, we can encode the solutions of the SE in a single matrix, namely T_{σ}
- In general, after perform the integration over the punctures on the sphere, the tree level S-matrix can be expressed as a rational function. Schematically,

$$\mathcal{A}_{n} = \sum_{\sigma_{sol}} \frac{P(j_{1}\sigma_{3}, j_{2}\sigma_{4}, \dots, j_{(n-3)!}\sigma_{(n-3)!})}{Q(j_{1}\sigma_{3}, j_{2}\sigma_{4}, \dots, j_{(n-3)!}\sigma_{(n-3)!})} \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{P}(T_{\sigma_{n-1}})\right) ,$$

It is convenient to consider σ_{n-1} as a parameter and rewrite

$$g_m \equiv \sum_{i_j \in \{0,1\}} c_{i_3 i_4 \dots i_{n-2} m} \sigma_3^{i_3} \sigma_4^{i_4} \cdots \sigma_{n-2}^{i_{n-2}} = 0, \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots n-3,$$

where c now depends linearly on σ_{n-1} . Computing the resultant is equivalent to impose conditions over the SE such that all the equations have a common solution \rightarrow polynomial in σ_{n-1} whose should be of order (n-3)!.

$$M_{1m} = g_m(\sigma_3, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}),$$

$$M_{im} = \frac{g_m(\tilde{\sigma}_3, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_{i+1}, \sigma_{i+2}, \dots, \sigma_{n-2}) - g_m(\tilde{\sigma}_3, \dots, \tilde{\sigma}_i, \sigma_{i+1}, \dots, \sigma_{n-2})}{\tilde{\sigma}_i - \sigma_i}$$

 $det(M) \equiv B(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}).$ It can be proved that the monomials composing $B(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})$

$$\sigma_3^{\alpha_3}\cdots\sigma_{n-2}^{\alpha_{n-2}}\tilde{\sigma}_3^{\beta_3}\cdots\tilde{\sigma}_{n-2}^{\beta_{n-2}},$$

satisfy $\alpha_i < i-2$, $\beta_i < (n-2) - i$. Hence,

 $B \in S(0, 1, ..., n-5) \otimes S(n-5, n-6, ..., 0)^*$.

 $B(\sigma, \tilde{\sigma})$ is interpreted as a linear map from the dual vector space $S(n-5, n-6, \ldots, 0)^*$ to $S(0, 1, \ldots, n-5) \rightarrow B_{(n-4)! \times (n-4)!}$,

$$B_{lm} = \left[\prod_{i=4}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\alpha_i!} \left(\partial_{\sigma_i}\right)^{\alpha_i} \prod_{j=3}^{n-3} \frac{1}{\beta_j!} \left(\partial_{\tilde{\sigma}_j}\right)^{\beta_j}\right] \det(M)|_{(\sigma,\tilde{\sigma})=0}.$$

• Go back Notice each component B_{lm} is a determinant. det(B) is the resultant we were looking for. Size of M is (n-3) and size of B is (n-4)!, hence the det(B) is a $(n-3) \times (n-4)! = (n-3)!$ order polynomial in σ_{n-1} . A more illuminating way to compute the resultant is as follows. We consider the map

$$S(0, 1, \dots, n-5)^{n-3} \longrightarrow S(1, 2, \dots, n-4),$$

$$\Phi: (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-3}) \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} f_i g_i.$$

The dimension of the linear map Φ is $(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$. We introduce the notation

 $A_{j_1j_2\dots j_{n-5}}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{0j_1j_2\dots j_{n-5}1} & c_{0j_1j_2\dots j_{n-5}2} & \cdots & c_{0j_1j_2\dots j_{n-5}(n-3)} \\ c_{1j_1j_2\dots j_{n-5}1} & c_{1j_1j_2\dots j_{n-5}2} & \cdots & c_{1j_1j_2\dots j_{n-5}(n-3)} \end{pmatrix}$



 $m \ge 2$. The resultant of the system is given by,

 $\overline{\det A}^{(n-3)} = 0.$

The linear map Φ is $(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$ dimensional

⇒ We can solve for (n-3)! monomials as functions of the parameters $c_{j_1j_2...j_{n-5}i}$ i.e, as a functions of σ_{n-1} . Particularly for the subset of monomials $(\sigma_3, \sigma_4, \ldots, \sigma_{n-2})$.

It can be written in terms of subdeterminants of $A^{(n-3)}$, but we do not have a closed general form (i.e as fancy as $A^{(n-3)}$ in terms of recursions), although is straightforward case by case.

For a given polynomial f in a single variable σ_i , we define yet another matrix by the linear transformation,

$$T_{\sigma_i}: f \rightarrow \sigma_i f.$$

Contract From Stickelberger's theorem, the roots of f are the eigenvalues of the companion matrix T_{σ_i} .

By knowing the relation between the remaining variables σ_i and σ_{n-1} we can compute the remaining companion matrices.

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \sum_{\sigma_{sol}} \mathcal{F}(s_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, \epsilon) \,.$$

This expression can always be rewritten as a rational function of polynomials as

$$\mathcal{A}_{n} = \sum_{\sigma_{sol}} \frac{P(_{j_{1}}\sigma_{3}, j_{2}\sigma_{4}, \cdots, j_{(n-3)!}\sigma_{(n-3)!})}{Q(_{j_{1}}\sigma_{3}, j_{2}\sigma_{4}, \cdots, j_{(n-3)!}\sigma_{(n-3)!})},$$

we replace the solutions $j\sigma_i$ by their corresponding companion matrices which are written in terms of $T_{\sigma_{n-1}}$. We can rewrite the amplitude schematically as,

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{P}(T_{\sigma_{n-1}})\tilde{Q}^{-1}(T_{\sigma_{n-1}})\right) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{P}(T_{\sigma_{n-1}})\right).$$

 $\overline{g_i} = c_{00i} + c_{10i}\overline{\sigma}_3 + c_{01i}\overline{\sigma}_4 c_{11i}\overline{\sigma}_3 \sigma_4, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$

▶ bezoutian

$$M_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{00i} + c_{01i}\sigma_{4} \\ c_{10i} + c_{11i}\sigma_{4} \\ c_{01i} + c_{11i}\tilde{\sigma}_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of M is given by a polynomial in $S(0,1) \otimes S(1,0)^*$ and the B_6 in the basis $\{1, \sigma_4\} \otimes \{1, \tilde{\sigma}_3\}$ can be written as ,

 $B_6 = \begin{pmatrix} [00, 10, 01] & [00, 10, 11] \\ [00, 01, 11] & [10, 01, 11] \end{pmatrix},$

 $\det(B_6)_{2\times 2} = \det(A)_{6\times 6} \rightarrow \text{Poly. of order 6 whose coefficients define}$ the elements of matrix \bigcirc companion

$$T_{\sigma_4} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ -\frac{s_{12}s_{45}}{s_{14}s_{25}} & -\frac{s_{12}s_{25} - s_{13}s_{35} + s_{14}s_{45}}{s_{14}s_{25}} \end{array}\right)$$

Solving σ_3 in terms of σ_4

$$\sigma_3 = -\frac{s_{14}}{s_{13}}\sigma_4 - \frac{s_{12}}{s_{13}} \quad \Rightarrow \ T_{\sigma_3} = -\frac{s_{14}}{s_{13}}T_{\sigma_4} - \frac{s_{12}}{s_{13}}$$

- We have developed a method for the evaluation of the S-matrix in theories satisfying the SE's in terms of the trace of a matrix.
- The data needed for the construction of such a matrix, is encoded in the determinant of another matrix, that can be computed straightforwardly from the coefficients of the SE's polynomials.
- In developing the method we have found nice mathematical structures hidden in the SE's, such as recursion relations satisfied by the resultants of such polynomials.
- Those resultants, can also be written in terms of brackets, which at the same time are the Chow form for the coordinates of projective spaces.

Many Thanks!