### The gravity dual of OPE block II

Tatsuma Nishioka

University of Tokyo

Oct 28 2019

#### East Asian Joint Workshop 2019 @ NCTS

Based on a work in collaboration with L. C. Chen, H. Y. Chen (NTU) and N. Kobayashi (UTokyo)

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

### Introduction

▶ Renewed interest on Lorentzian CFT:

 Analytic bootstrap [Fitzpatrick-Kaplan-Poland-Simmons-Duffin 12, Komargodski-Zhiboedov 12, · · · ]

 Lorentzian inversion formula [Caron-Huot 17, Simmons-Duffin-Stanford-Witten 17]

Causality constraint and ANEC [Hartman-Kundu-Tajdini 15]

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

• We revisit the OPE structure in Lorentzian CFT and derive its holographic representation



# Outline

▶ Review of Lorentzian CFT

▶ Derivation of Lorentzian OPE block in momentum space

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- ▶ The gravity dual of Lorentzian OPE block
  - ▶ Spacelike: HKLL field on AdS
  - ▶ Timelike: HKLL-type field on hyperboloid
- ▶ Summary and future problem



# Lorentzian CFT

 Reconstruction of Lorentzian CFT from Euclidean CFT (Lüscher-Mack theorem) [Osterwalder-Schrader 73, 75, Lüscher-Mack 75]

Lorentzian CFT can be defined by
 a set of primary operators O<sub>i</sub>(x) (unitary irrep of SO(2, d)):

$$i = (\Delta, J, \lambda) \in \mathrm{SO}(1, 1) \times \mathrm{SO}(1, 1) \times \mathrm{SO}(d - 2)$$
,

 $(\Delta, J)$ : continuous

• the OPE coefficients  $C_{ijk}$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_i(x) \mathcal{O}_j(0) = \sum_k C_{ijk} \frac{1}{|x|^{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - \Delta_k}} \left[ \mathcal{O}_k(0) + (\text{descendants}) \right]$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く



# Weyl reflections in CFT

• Eigenvalue of quadratic Casimir for  $i = (\Delta, J, 0)$ :

$$C_2 = \Delta(\Delta - d) + J(J + d - 2)$$

► Additional "symmetry" in Lorentzian CFT [Kravchuk–Simmons-Duffin 18]:  $S_J : (\Delta, J) \rightarrow (\Delta, 2 - d - J)$  Spin shadow transform  $L : (\Delta, J) \rightarrow (1 - J, 1 - \Delta)$  Light transform

► They form the restricted Weyl group of order 8 of SO(2, d) with the relations  $L^2 = S_J^2 = (LS_J)^2 = 1$  including

 $S_{\Delta} \equiv L S_J L : (\Delta, J) \rightarrow (d - \Delta, J)$  Shadow transform



### OPE in CFT

▶ OPE is convergent on the CFT vacuum [Mack 77]

$$\mathcal{O}_i(x_1) \, \mathcal{O}_j(x_2) = \sum_k \, \mathcal{B}_{ijk}(x_1, x_2)$$

- ▶ B<sub>ijk</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>) is called the OPE block and appeared recently in different contexts, i.e. entanglement entropy [Czech-Lamprou-McCandlish-Mosk-Sully 16, de Boer-Haehl-Heller-Myers 16]
- Conformal invariance is strong enough to fix the form of *B*<sub>ijk</sub>(x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>)



# Shadow projector in Lorentzian CFT?

▶ Naive use of the shadow projector [Ferrara-Gatto-Grillo-Parisi 72]

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_{k} \int \mathrm{d}^{d} y \, | \, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{k}}(y) \, \rangle \, \langle \, \mathcal{O}_{k}(y) \, |$$

gives an integral representation of the OPE block:

$$\mathcal{B}_{ijk}(x_1, x_2) = \int \mathrm{d}^d y \, \langle \, \mathcal{O}_i(x_1) \, \mathcal{O}_j(x_2) \, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{k}}(y) \, \rangle \, \mathcal{O}_k(y)$$

 $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{k}}$ : shadow field with  $\bar{k} = (d - \Delta_k, J)$ 

#### ► Ambiguity:

- ▶ Is the projector complete even in Lorentzian?
- What type of three-point function is used? (Time-ordered or Wightman?)

(cf: no ambiguity in Euclidean CFT)

# Momentum space shadow formalism

▶ In Lorentzian CFT the projector can be constructed in momentum space [Gillioz-Lu-Luty 16, Gillioz 18]

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_{k} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}^{d} p}{(2\pi)^{d}} \,\Theta(p^{0}) \,\Theta(-p^{2}) \,|\, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{k}}(-p)\,\rangle \,\langle\, \mathcal{O}_{k}(p)\,\rangle$$

▶  $|O_k(p)\rangle$  spans orthonormal basis with the positive definite inner product:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_k(p_1) | \mathcal{O}_k(p_2) \rangle = (2\pi)^d \,\delta^{(d)}(p_1 + p_2) \, W_k(p)$$

with the Wightman 2-pt function  $W_k(p)$ 

▶ In momentum space the shadow field is defined by

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{k}}(p)\rangle \equiv W_{\bar{k}}(p) |\mathcal{O}_{k}(p)\rangle$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

## Lorentzian OPE block

▶ Inserting the projector the OPE block becomes

$$\mathcal{B}_{ijk}(x_1, x_2) = \int_{p^0 \ge 0, \, p^2 \le 0} \frac{\mathrm{d}^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \, W_{ijk}(x_1, x_2, -p) \, W_{\bar{k}}(-p) \, \mathcal{O}_k(p)$$

• The Wightman three-point function  $W_{ijk}$  is given by analytically continuing the Euclidean correlator:

$$x_i^d = \mathrm{i} x_i^0 + \epsilon_i , \qquad \epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2 > \cdots > 0$$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

- Explicit form of the OPE block was already derived in 70s
   [cf: Dobrev-Mack-Petkova-Petkova-Todorov 77]
- ▶ There are two distinct cases in Lorentzian OPE:

• Spacelike: 
$$x_{12}^2 \equiv (x_1 - x_2)^2 > 0$$

• Timelike:  $x_{12}^2 < 0$ 

# Spacelike OPE block

► For a pair of scalar primaries, i = j = scalar, the OPE has only the spin-*J* contributions,  $k = (\Delta, J)$ 

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_1}(x_1) \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_2}(x_2) = \sum_{\Delta,J} \mathcal{B}_{\Delta,J}(x_1, x_2)$$

- ▶ First, we will start with the spacelike case
- We proceed to rewrite  $\mathcal{B}_{\Delta,J}(x_1, x_2)$  as follows:
  - Rewrite the three-point function by introducing a Schwinger/Feynman parameter u
  - Perform Fourier transform to the position space



### Scalar OPE block

For a scalar primary (J = 0) we find (up to a constant) [da Cunha-Guica 16]

$$\mathcal{B}_{\Delta}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_{12}^+}{2}}} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}u \, u^{\frac{\Delta_{12}^-}{2} - 1} (1 - u)^{-\frac{\Delta_{12}^-}{2} - 1} \Phi_{\Delta}^{(\mathrm{L})} \left( x^{\mu}(u), \eta(u) \right)$$

▶ The parameters defined by

$$x^{\mu}(u) \equiv u \, x_{1}^{\mu} + (1-u) \, x_{2}^{\mu} \,, \qquad \eta(u) \equiv \sqrt{u(1-u) \, x_{12}^{2}}$$

can be identified with the geodesic connecting the boundary points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in the Poincaré  $AdS_{d+1}$  coordinates

$$ds^{2} = \frac{d\eta^{2} + \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}}{\eta^{2}}, \qquad \eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(-1, 1, \cdots, 1)$$



Holographic representation of spacelike OPE block

•  $\Phi_{\Delta}^{(L)}$  is so called the HKLL representation of an AdS scalar field:

$$\Phi_{\Delta}^{(\mathrm{L})}\left(t,\boldsymbol{x},\eta\right) = \int_{t'^{2}+\boldsymbol{y}'^{2} \leq \eta^{2}} \mathrm{d}^{d}y' \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta^{2}-t'^{2}-\boldsymbol{y}'^{2}}\right)^{\tilde{\Delta}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}\left(t+t',\,\boldsymbol{x}+\mathrm{i}\,\boldsymbol{y}'\right)$$

As a consistency check, the equation of motion for  $\Phi_{\Delta}^{(L)}$ follows from the quadratic Casimir equation for  $\mathcal{B}_{\Delta}(x_1, x_2)$ with the canonical identification

$$m^2 = \Delta(d - \Delta)$$

By a change of variable u = 1/(1 + e<sup>2λ</sup>) this matches the Euclidean result [see Heng-Yu's talk] up to the difference of spacetime signature as expected



# Timelike OPE block

▶ The OPE block is analytic in  $x_{12}^2$ , so we can obtain the timelike OPE block via analytic continuation:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\Delta}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{(x_{12}^2)^{\frac{\Delta_{12}^+}{2}}} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}u \, u^{\frac{\Delta_{12}^-}{2} - 1} (1 - u)^{-\frac{\Delta_{12}^-}{2} - 1} \, \Phi_{\Delta}^{(\mathrm{LT})} \left( x^{\mu}(u), \chi(u) \right)$$

▶ Here the parameters

$$x^{\mu}(u) = u x_{1}^{\mu} + (1-u) x_{2}^{\mu}, \quad \chi(u) = \sqrt{u(1-u) |x_{12}^{2}|}$$

are on the geodesic on a hyperboloid in  $\mathbb{R}^{d,2}$  with the metric:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{-\mathrm{d}\chi^2 + \eta_{\mu\nu}\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}}{\chi^2}$$



This is not AdS, but an analytic continuation of de Sitter space!

# Holographic representation of timelike OPE block

▶ In the timelike OPE block, we introduce an HKLL-type representation of a scalar field on the hyperboloid:



- Derived holographic representations of Lorentzian OPE blocks
- ▶ A new holographic rep of a bulk field on the hyperboloid found in the timelike case
- Can be generalized to higher-spin fields as in Euclidean case [Heng-Yu's talk]





### Future problem

How to derive surface Witten representation of the timelike OPE block proposed by [Czech-Lamprou-McCandlish-Mosk-Sully 16, de Boer-Haehl-Heller-Myers 16]?



