LHC/ILC Synergy for Exploring Extended Higgs Sectors

Kei Yagyu (Osaka U.)



Collaboration with

Masashi Aiko, Shinya Kanemura (Osaka U.),

Mariko Kikuchi (Kitakyushu Coll.),

Kentarou Mawatari (Iwate U.), Kodai Sakurai (KIT)

High Energy Frontier in Particle Physics: LHC and Future Colliders 2020, Oct. 5th, NTU

2 Figs well describing the current status





New particles have not been observed.

Q. Is the SM enough?

A. Of course, No!

SM predictions are good agreement.

Model	<i>l</i> , γ Jets;	Emiss	() dt[fb	-1] Limit	Reference
ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$ ADD OBH-resonant $\gamma\gamma$ ADD OBH high $\sum p_T$ ADD BH high $\sum p_T$ ADD BH multijet RS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WV \rightarrow \ell \nu q$ Bulk RS $g_{KK} \rightarrow WV \rightarrow \ell \nu q$ Bulk RS $g_{KK} \rightarrow tt$ 2UED / RPP	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ e, \mu & 1 \ -4 \ i \\ 0 \ e, \mu & 1 \ -4 \ i \\ 2 \ \gamma & -2 \ i \\ 0 \ i \\ 1 \ e, \mu & 2 \ i \\ 1 \ e, \mu & 2 \ i \\ 2 \ \gamma & -2 \ i \\ 1 \ e, \mu & 2 \ i \\ 2 \ \gamma & -2 \ i \\ 2 \ \gamma & -2 \ i \\ 1 \ e, \mu & 2 \ i \\ 2 \ \gamma & -2 \ i \ -2 \ -2$	- T Yes - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	36.1 36.7 37.0 3.2 3.6 36.7 36.1 139 36.1 36.1 36.1	Mo 7.7 TeV n = 2 Ms 8.8 TeV n = 3 H.Z N.O Ma 8.8 TeV n = 0 Ma 8.8 TeV n = 0 Ma 8.8 TeV n = 0. Mg = 3 TeV, rot BH Ma 9.55 TeV n = 0. Mg = 3 TeV, rot BH Ger mass 2.3 TeV k/Mg = 10 Ger mass 2.0 TeV k/Mg = 10 Krmass 3.8 TeV Ter (15, 15, (A) ^{L11}) → rt) = 1	1711.03301 1707.04147 1708.09127 1606.02265 1512.02586 1707.04147 1808.02380 2004.14636 1804.10823 1804.08238
$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{SSM}\ Z' \to \ell \ell \\ \mathrm{SSM}\ Z' \to \tau\tau \\ \mathrm{Leptophotic}\ Z' \to t t \\ \mathrm{Leptophotic}\ Z' \to t t \\ \mathrm{SSM}\ W' \to t r \\ \mathrm{SSM}\ W' \to \tau \\ \mathrm{HT}\ W' \to WZ \to \ell v \\ \mathrm{HT}\ W' \to WW \to q a q m \\ \mathrm{HT}\ V' \to WW \to q a q m \\ \mathrm{HT}\ V' \to WW - d w \\ \mathrm{HT}\ W' \to WH - d w \\ \mathrm{LRSM}\ W_R \to t \\ \mathrm{LRSM}\ W_R \to m \\ \mathrm{RSM}\ W_R \to m \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- - Yes Yes Yes 2 J -	139 36.1 139 139 36.1 139 36.1 139 36.1 139 36.1 80	2/ mass 5.1 TeV 2/ mass 2.4 12 TeV 2/ mass 2.1 TeV 2/ mass 2.1 TeV W mass 6.0 TeV W mass 3.7 TeV W mass 3.7 TeV W mass 3.0 TeV W mass 3.0 TeV W mass 3.0 TeV W mass 3.0 TeV W mass 3.2 TeV W mass 3.2 TeV W mass 3.2 TeV We mass 3.2 TeV We mass 5.0 TeV	1903.06248 1709.07242 1805.096299 2005.05138 1801.06892 2004.14636 1906.08589 1712.06518 CERN-EP-2020-073 1807.10473 1904.12679
Cl qqqq Cl ℓℓqq Cl tttt	− 2 j 2 e,μ − ≥1 e,μ ≥1 b,≥1	j Yes	37.0 139 36.1	Λ 21.0 TeV η _{LL} Λ 35 TeV η _{LL} Λ 2.57 TeV ΙC _{tt} = 4π	1703.09127 CERN-EP-2020-066 1811.02305
Axial-vector mediator (Dirac l Colored scalar mediator (Dirac $VV_{\chi\chi}$ EFT (Dirac DM) Scalar reson. $\phi \rightarrow t\chi$ (Dirac l	$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{DM}) & 0 \ e, \mu & 1-4 \ j \\ c \ \text{DM}) & 0 \ e, \mu & 1-4 \ j \\ & 0 \ e, \mu & 1 \ J, \leq 1 \\ \text{DM}) & 0-1 \ e, \mu & 1 \ b, 0-1 \end{array}$	Yes Yes j Yes J Yes	36.1 36.1 3.2 36.1	mmax 1.55 TeV g _s -0.55 g _s -10 m(t) = 1.62 M mmax 1.87 TeV g _s -0.5 m(s) = 1.64 V M _s 700 GeV m(t) = 1.04 V my 3.4 TeV y = 0.4, = 0.2, m(t) = 10 GeV	1711.03301 1711.03301 1608.02372 1812.09743
Scalar LQ 1 st gen Scalar LQ 2 nd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1,2 \ e & \geq 2 \ j \\ 1,2 \ \mu & \geq 2 \ j \\ 2 \ \tau & 2 \ b \\ 0 & -1 \ e, \ \mu & 2 \ b \end{array}$	Yes Yes - Yes	36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1	L0 mass 1.4 TeV β = 1 L0 mass 1.65 TeV β = 1 L02 mass 1.03 TeV 8/L0(γ - tr) = 1 L02 mass 970 GeV 8/L0(γ - tr) = 0	1902.00377 1902.00377 1902.08103 1902.08103
$\begin{array}{c} VLQ\;TT \rightarrow Ht/Zt/Wb + X\\ VLQ\;BB \rightarrow Wt/Zb + X\\ VLQ\;T_{5/3}\;T_{5/3}\;T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt + \\ VLQ\;Y \rightarrow Wb + X\\ VLQ\;VD \rightarrow Hb + X\\ VLQ\;QQ \rightarrow WqWq \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{multi-channel} \\ \mbox{multi-channel} \\ X \ 2(SS)/\geq 3 \ e, \mu \geq 1 \ b, \geq 1 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \ \geq 1 \ b, \geq \\ 0 \ e, \mu, 2 \ \gamma \ \geq 1 \ b, \geq \\ 1 \ e, \mu \ \geq 4 \ j \end{array}$	j Yes 1j Yes 1j Yes Yes	36.1 36.1 36.1 36.1 79.8 20.3	Trans 1.37 TeV SU(2) doublet Branss 1.34 TeV SU(2) doublet Ta,n mais 1.64 TeV SU(2) doublet Yrmass 1.64 TeV SU(2) doublet Brans 1.64 TeV SU(2) doublet Branss 1.85 TeV SU(2) doublet Branss 1.21 TeV SU(2) doublet Qrmass 600 GeV sg= 0.5	1808.02343 1808.02343 1807.11883 1812.07343 ATLAS-CONF-2018-02 1509.04261
Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited lepton ℓ^* Excited lepton v^*	- 2j 1γ 1j - 1b,1j 3 e,μ - 3 e,μ,τ -	-	139 36.7 36.1 20.3 20.3	st mass 6.7 TeV only u' and d'. A = m(q') q' mass 5.3 TeV only u' and d'. A = m(q') b' mass 2.6 TeV only u' and d'. A = m(q') t' mass 3.0 TeV A = 3.0 TeV v' mass 1.6 TeV A = 1.6 TeV	1910.08447 1709.10440 1805.09299 1411.2921 1411.2921
Type III Seesaw LRSM Majorana ν Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \tau$ Multi-charged particles Magnetic monopoles	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 \ e, \mu & \geq 2 \ j \\ 2 \ \mu & 2 \ j \\ 2,3,4 \ e, \mu \ (SS) & - \\ 3 \ e, \mu, \tau & - \\ - & - \\ - & - \end{array}$	Yes - - -	79.8 36.1 36.1 20.3 36.1 34.4	M ⁴ mass 560 GeV m(Wc) = 4.1 TeV, g: = ge M ⁴⁷ mass 870 GeV DY production, 92 (H ^{4*} = 4.7) = 1 M ⁴⁷ mass 870 GeV DY production, 92 (H ^{4*} = 4.7) = 1 M ⁴⁷ mass 00 (geV) DY production, 92 (H ^{4*} = 4.7) = 1 multi-charged particle mass 1.22 TeV DY production, (el = 5e DY production, (el = 1.6), scin 1/2 DY production, (el = 1.6), scin 1/2	ATLAS-CONF-2018-02 1809.11105 1710.09748 1411.2921 1812.03673 1905.10130

†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

Higgs as a problematic sector

We expect that a new scale appears at high energies.



□ We need a new symmetry to stabilize the Higgs mass to be 125 GeV.

E.g., Supersymmetry

Chiral symmetry (Composite Higgs)

Gauge symmetry (Gauge Higgs unification)

Higgs as a problematic sector

We expect that a new scale appears at high energies.



□ We need a new symmetry to stabilize the Higgs mass to be 125 GeV.

E.g., Supersymmetry

Chiral symmetry (Composite Higgs)

Gauge symmetry (Gauge Higgs unification)

Higgs as a portal to BSM

□ The Higgs sector can be a portal to a BSM sector.



Higgs as a probe of New Physics

New Physics at High Energy Frontier

Standard Model

Direct search @LHC Indirect search @ILC

Higgs Physics











SM-likeness of h(125)



Synergy b/w LHC and ILC searches is important!

Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. 2HDMs and their alignment/decoupling limit
- III. Theory constraints
- IV. Results
- V. Summary

2 Higgs doublet models

- □ Simple extension of the Higgs sector:
- □ Variations of the 2HDM

 $\Phi_{\mathrm{SM}} \rightarrow \Phi_1, \ \Phi_2$ $h_{\mathrm{SM}} \rightarrow h, \ H, \ A, \ H^{\pm}$

CP
$$\int$$
 CP-conserving 2HDM
CP-violating 2HDM
Hardly-broken (General 2HDM)
 $V = m_1^2 |\Phi_1|^2 + m_2^2 |\Phi_2|^2 - m_3^2 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + h.c.)$
 $+ \frac{\lambda_1}{2} |\Phi_1|^4 + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} |\Phi_2|^4 + \lambda_3 |\Phi_1|^2 |\Phi_2|^2$
 $+ \lambda_4 |\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2|^2 + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} [(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + h.c.]$

Z₂ Softly-broken (Type-I, Type-II, Type-X, Type-Y)
Unbroken (Inert doublet model)
$$M^2 = m_3^2/(\sin\beta\cos\beta)$$

D 8 parameters v, m_h , m_H , m_A , m_{H+} , $sin(\beta-\alpha)$, $tan\beta$, and M^2

4 types of Yukawa interactions

In general, four independent types of Yukawa interactions are allowed in this setup. Barger, Hewett, Phillips, PRD41 (1990)

Barger, Hewett, Phillips, PRD41 (1990 Grossman, NPB426 (1994)



Alignment/Decoupling in the 2HDM



D Masses of the Higgs boson at $sin(\beta-a) \sim 1$

 $m_{h}^{2} \sim \lambda v^{2}, \quad m_{\Phi}^{2} \sim M^{2} + \lambda' v^{2}$ ($\Phi = H^{\pm}, A, H$)

 \square Decoupling limit: $M^2 \to \infty$

-Masses of H, A, H^{\pm} become infinity.

 \square Alignment limit: $\sin(eta-lpha) o 1$

-h behaves like the SM Higgs boson.
-H, A, H[±] behave fermio-philic scalars.

(Non) alignment in the 2HDM

Computed by H-COUP v3-β , Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, Yagyu

Type-I 2HDM with mH = mA = mH⁺ = M = 400 GeV, $tan\beta = 10$



Higgs to Higgs decays become important for the non-alignment case.



- I. Introduction
- II. 2HDMs and their alignment/decoupling limit
- III. Theory constraints
- IV. Results
- V. Summary

Decoupling without alignment?

Q. Can we take $M \rightarrow \infty$ with $sin(\beta-a) \neq 1$?

A. No.

$$m_h^2 = \lambda v^2 + \frac{\cos^2(eta - lpha)M^2}{4} = (125~{
m GeV})^2$$

This term becomes huge when M » v and sin(β-a) eq

This term should also be huge to keep 125 GeV \rightarrow unitarity violation

1

The upper limit on M (~2nd Higgs scale) appears when $sin(\beta-\alpha) \neq 1$. ILC can extract it from precise measurements of h couplings

ILC can set the upper limit on the second Higgs mass scale.

Unitarity & vacuum stability bounds



$m_{\Phi} = 800 \text{ GeV}, \sin(\beta - a) = 0.995$



$m_{\Phi} = 900 \text{ GeV}, \sin(\beta - a) = 0.995$



$m_{\Phi} = 1000 \text{ GeV}, \sin(\beta - a) = 0.995$





- I. Introduction
- II. 2HDMs and their alignment/decoupling limit
- III. Theory constraints
- IV. Results
- V. Summary

Excluded region by current LHC data

Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, KY (in preparation)



Cross section: SusHi v1-7-0 Harlander, Liebler, Mantler

BR:H-COUP v3-β :Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, Yagyu

Expected exclusion at HL-LHC

Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, KY (in preparation)



Cross section: SusHi v1-7-0 Harlander, Liebler, Mantler

BR:H-COUP v3-β :Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, Yagyu

Expected exclusion at HL-LHC and ILC

Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, KY (in preparation)



Cross section: SusHi v1-7-0 Harlander, Liebler, Mantler

BR:H-COUP v3-β :Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, Yagyu

Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, KY (in preparation)



Fingerprinting the Higgs sector

Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, KY (2019)



Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, KY (in preparation)



Aiko, Kanemura, Kikuchi, Mawatari, Sakurai, KY (in preparation)



Alignment without decoupling region can still remain.

- Electroweak baryogenesis
- Inert dark matter

Barbieri, Hall, Rychkov (2003), etc

 $\cdot \mu(g-2)$ anomaly

Chun et. al, (2014) Abe, Sato, Yagyu (2015), etc.

etc.



Kanemura, Okada, Senaha (2004)

Summary

- We can explore wide region of the parameter space by using the synergy between direct search at the LHC and indirect search at the ILC.
- If we find signatures → Possible new physics can be further narrowed down by the fingerprinting of the Higgs sector.
- If we do not find signatures → alignment w/o decoupling scenario can still remain.
 - Motivations: EWBG, Inert dark matter, muon g 2, etc.

Non-decoupling effects of additional Higgs bosons on hhh coupling can be important \rightarrow ILC500.



Case for $cos(\beta-a) > 0$



The G-Fitter Group, Haller et al. EPJC78 (2018)



ATLAS, arXiv:1909.02845 [hep-ex].



	Current (ATLAS,CMS)	HL-LHC (ATLAS,CMS) [%]	ILC250 [%]	ILC500 [%]
κ_Z	$(1.11 \pm 0.08, 1.00 \pm 0.11)$	(2.6,2.4)	0.38	0.30
κ_W	$(1.05 \pm 0.09, -1.13^{+0.16}_{-0.13})$	(3.1, 2.6)	1.8	0.40
κ_b	$(1.03\substack{+0.19\\-0.17}, 1.17\substack{+0.27\\-0.31})$	(6.2, 6.0)	1.8	0.60
κ_t	$(1.09^{+0.15}_{-0.14}, 0.98 \pm 0.14)$	(6.3, 5.5)	—	6
κ_c	(-,-)	(-,-)	2.4	1.2
$\kappa_{ au}$	$(1.05^{+0.16}_{-0.15}, 1.02 \pm 0.17)$	(3.7, 2.8)	1.9	0.80
κ_{μ}	$(-,0.80\substack{+0.59\\-0.80})$	(7.7, 6.7)	5.6	5.1
κ_g	$(0.99^{+0.11}_{-0.10}, 1.18^{+0.16}_{-0.14})$	(4.2, 4.0)	2.2	0.97
κ_{γ}	$(1.05\pm0.09, 1.07^{+0.14}_{-0.15})$	(3.7, 2.9)	1.1	1.0
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}$	(-,-)	$(12.7,\!-)$	16	16
κ_h	(-,-)	(-,-)	_	27

Constrained quantity	Applicable mass region	Reference
$\sigma(\phi) \times B(\phi \to \tau\tau)$	$200 < m_\Phi < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 7(a) in [1]
$\sigma(\phi(bb)) \times B(\phi \to \tau\tau)$	$200 < m_\Phi < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 7(b) in [1]
$\sigma(\phi(bb)) \times B(\phi \to bb)$	$450 < m_{\Phi} < 1400~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 8 in [2]
$\sigma(\phi) \times B(\phi \to tt)$	$400 < m_{\Phi} < 5000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 14 in [3]
$\sigma(H) \times B(H \to hh) \times B(h \to bb)^2$	$260 < m_\Phi < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 9(a) in [<u>4</u>]
$\sigma(H) \times B(H \to WW)$	$200 < m_\Phi < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 5 in [5]
$\sigma(H) \times B(H \to ZZ)$	$200 < m_\Phi < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 6 in <u>6</u>
$\sigma(A) \times B(A \to Zh) \times B(h \to bb)$	$200 < m_\Phi < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 6(a) in [7]
$\sigma(A(bb)) \times B(A \to Zh) \times B(h \to bb)$	$200 < m_{\Phi} < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 6(b) in [7]
$\sigma(tH^{\pm}) \times B(H^{\pm} \to tb)$	$200 < m_{\Phi} < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 8 in [8]
$\sigma(tH^{\pm}) \times B(H^{\pm} \to \tau\nu)$	$200 < m_\Phi < 2000~{\rm GeV}$	Fig. 8(a) in [9]



 $gg \rightarrow bbH/bbA$



 $sin(\beta-a) = 0.995, cos(\beta-a) < 0$



 $m_{\phi} = 1000 \text{ GeV}$

 $m_{\phi} = 1200 \text{ GeV}$

$s_{\beta-\alpha}$	Type-I	Type-II	Type-X	Type-Y
0.995	$t_{\beta} \ge 0.54 \ (t_{\beta} \ge 0.54)$	$0.57 \le t_{\beta} \le 1.63$ (-)	$0.42 \le t_{\beta} \le 4.2 \ (0.43 \le t_{\beta} \le 4.1)$	- (-)
0.990	$t_{\beta} \ge 0.86 \ (t_{\beta} \ge 0.86)$	- (-)	$0.72 \le t_{\beta} \le 2.5 \ (0.71 \le t_{\beta} \le 2.0)$	- (-)
0.980	$t_{\beta} \ge 1.3 \ (t_{\beta} \ge 1.3)$	- (-)	- (-)	- (-)

TABLE III. Allowed range of $\tan \beta$ for the case with $c_{\beta-\alpha} > 0$ ($c_{\beta-\alpha} < 0$) from the signal strength data of the discovered Higgs boson at the LHC [10]. The hyphen denotes no allowed region.