

Phase transition and dark matter in the singlet extension of SM



Bo-Qiang Lu Collaborator: Cheng-Wei Chiang, Da Huang National Taiwan University (NTU) October 05, 2020



Outline

- Introduction
- 1. Universe content
- 2. WIMP miracle
- 3. DM detection experiments
- Real singlet extension with a Z2 symmetry
- 1. The model
- 2. Results
- Complex singlet extension with a CP symmetry
- 1. The model
- 2. Invisible Higgs decay
- 3. Annihilation cross section
- 4. Relic density and DM direct detection
- Summary



What is the Universe made of?

The Universe content:
 -Luminous matter ~ 5%
 -Dark matter ~ 27%
 -Dark energy ~ 68%



Evidence is

 overwhelming :
 Rotation curve;
 Gravitational Lensing
 N-body simulations
 of structure formation
 CMB
 BBN

-...



The rotation curve of typical spiral galaxy M33



Composite image of X-ray (pink) and weak gravitational Lensing (blue) of the famous Bullet Cluster of galaxies.



Dark matter candidates

Some dark matter candidate particles





The WIMP miracle

- In the early Universe, WIMPs

 are in thermal and chemical
 equilibrium with SM particles.
- With the expanding and cooling ← of the Universe, WIMPs become nonrelativistic and their production drops exponentially.
- 3. The WIMPs decouple from the thermal bath when the mass-totemperature is ∼20.Then their abundance remains a constant.



$$\Omega_{\chi}h^{2} \simeq \frac{1.07 \times 10^{9} \text{ GeV}^{-1}}{M_{\text{pl}}} \frac{x_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{g_{*}}} \frac{1}{(a+3b/x_{\text{F}})}$$

$$\simeq \frac{3 \times 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v \rangle}$$



WIMP detection

Correct relic density \rightarrow Efficient annihilation then





2020/10/3



Indirect detection







Phase transition (PT) in the singlet extension of SM



Type-II PT



The model:

$$V(H,S) = -\mu_h^2 |H|^2 + \lambda_h |H|^4 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 S^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_s S^4 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_m |H|^2 S^2,$$

S becomes a dark matter candidate in the type-II PT since its VEV vanished in the present Universe.

Write the potential in terms of the background fields h and s:

$$V_0(h,s) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu_h^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_h h^4 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 s^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_s s^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_m h^2 s^2.$$

The tree-level potential exists a potential barrier for the type-II EWPT.



The finite temperature potential is given by

$$\begin{split} V(h,s,T) &= -\frac{1}{2}\mu_h^2 h^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_h h^4 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 s^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_s s^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_m h^2 s^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(c_h h^2 + c_s s^2)(T_c^2 - T^2). \end{split}$$

Temperature-dependent parameters

$$\mu_h^2(T) = \mu_h^2 - c_h(T^2 - T_c^2),$$

$$\mu_s^2(T) = \mu_s^2 + c_s(T^2 - T_c^2).$$



Local minimum in the type-II PT:

$$w^2 = -rac{\mu_s^2}{\lambda_s}; \quad v^2 = rac{\mu_h^2}{\lambda_h},$$

The critical temperature is given by

$$v_0^2 = v^2 + \frac{c_h}{\lambda_h} T_c^2.$$

The degeneracy of the two vacua at critical temperature requires

$$m_s^2 = rac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_m - 2\sqrt{\lambda_h \lambda_s} \right) v_0^2 + \left(c_h \sqrt{rac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_h}} - c_s \right) T_c^2.$$

To ensure a correct EWPT, the broken minimum should decrease faster than the symmetry one as the temperature decreases, this requires:

$$\frac{c_h}{c_s} > \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_h}{\lambda_s}}.$$



To search for the parameter space for the type-II PT, we make a random scan of the parameters in the following ranges:

$$10^{-3} < \lambda_s < 5$$
, $10^{-3} < \lambda_m < 5$, and $1 < \frac{v}{T_c} < 10$.





Dark matter relic density

Direct detection





Consider the model with a CP symmetry $S \rightarrow S^*$:

$$\begin{split} V(H,S) &= -\mu_h^2 |H|^2 + \lambda_h |H|^4 - \mu_1^2 (S^*S) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_2^2 (S^2 + S^{*2}) + \lambda_1 (S^*S)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_2 (S^2 + S^{*2})^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 (S^*S) (S^2 + S^{*2}) + \kappa_1 |H|^2 (S^*S) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_2 |H|^2 (S^2 + S^{*2}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} a_1^3 (S + S^*) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} b_m |H|^2 (S + S^*) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} c_1 (S^*S) (S + S^*) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} c_2 (S^3 + S^{*3}). \end{split}$$

With $S=(s+i\chi)/\sqrt{2},$ we have

$$\begin{split} V(h,s,\chi) &= -\frac{1}{2}\mu_h^2 h^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_s^2 s^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_\chi^2 \chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_h h^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_s s^4 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_\chi \chi^4 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_a s^2 \chi^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\kappa_s h^2 s^2 + \frac{1}{4}\kappa_\chi h^2 \chi^2 + a_1^3 s + \frac{1}{4}b_m h^2 s + \frac{1}{3}c_s s^3 + \frac{1}{3}c_\chi s \chi^2, \end{split}$$



with

$$egin{aligned} &\mu_1^2 = rac{1}{2}(\mu_s^2 + \mu_\chi^2), &\mu_2^2 = rac{1}{2}(\mu_s^2 - \mu_\chi^2), \ &\kappa_1 = rac{1}{2}(\kappa_s + \kappa_\chi), &\kappa_2 = rac{1}{2}(\kappa_s - \kappa_\chi), \ &c_1 = rac{1}{4}(3c_s + c_\chi), &c_2 = rac{1}{4}(c_s - c_\chi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\lambda_s + \lambda_\chi) + \lambda_a \right], \ \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\lambda_s + \lambda_\chi) - \lambda_a \right], \ \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_s - \lambda_\chi).$$



The potential at finite temperature is given by

$$V_{\text{eff}}(h, s, \chi, T) = V(h, s, \chi) - \frac{1}{2} \left(g_h h^2 + g_s s^2 + g_\chi \chi^2 + 2m_3 s \right) \left(T_c^2 - T^2 \right),$$

T-dependent parameters:

$$\mu_h^2(T) = \mu_h^2 - g_h(T^2 - T_c^2), \quad \mu_s^2(T) = \mu_s^2 - g_s(T^2 - T_c^2),$$

$$\mu_\chi^2(T) = \mu_\chi^2 - g_\chi(T^2 - T_c^2), \quad a_1^3(T) = a_1^3 + m_3(T^2 - T_c^2).$$

The following relations are required for generating the type-II PT

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{h} &= \frac{M_{hh}^{2}}{2v_{0}^{2}}, \quad \mu_{h}^{2} = \lambda_{h}v^{2}, \quad b_{m} = \frac{2M_{hs}^{2}}{v_{0}}, \quad a_{1}^{3} = -\frac{b_{m}v^{2}}{4}, \\ \lambda_{s} &= \frac{36\lambda_{h}v^{4} + w[96a_{1}^{3} + (24M_{ss}^{2} + 4\kappa_{s}T_{c}^{2} + 4\lambda_{a}T_{c}^{2} - \lambda_{\chi}T_{c}^{2} - 12\kappa_{s}v_{0}^{2})w]}{12w^{4} - 5T_{c}^{2}w^{2}}, \\ \mu_{s}^{2} &= \frac{15\lambda_{h}T_{c}^{2}v^{4} + 40a_{1}^{3}T_{c}^{2}w + (24M_{ss}^{2} + 4\kappa_{s}T_{c}^{2} + 4\lambda_{a}T_{c}^{2} - \lambda_{\chi}T_{c}^{2} - 12\kappa_{s}v_{0}^{2})w^{4}}{10T_{c}^{2}w^{2} - 24w^{4}}, \\ c_{s} &= -\frac{1}{w^{2}}(a_{1}^{3} - \mu_{s}^{2}w + \lambda_{s}w^{3}). \end{split}$$

2020/10/3











s decay width $\Gamma_s \simeq sin^2 \theta \Gamma_h$





Annihilation cross section





Benchmark models

Model	θ	λ_a	$c_{\chi} ~[{ m GeV}]$
A	0.1	0.5	-100
В	0.1	0.1	-100
C	0.3	0.5	-100
D	0.1	0.5	-300

23



Dark matter relic density





Complex singlet extension with a CP symmetry Direct detection









Summary

In this talk, we focus on the type-II EWPT in the singlet extension of SM and the related DM phenomenology. The main conclusions are as follows:

- 1. We show that the in the real singlet extension of SM with a Z2 symmetry, parameter regions for the type-II PT is constrained by the DM direct detection.
- 2. We then study the type-II EWPT in a complex singlet extension with a CP symmetry and find that plenty of parameter space is available for both generating the observed DM relic density while survive from various experimental constraints including Higgs signal strength measurements, DM relic density observations, and DM direct and indirect detections.
- 3. The future precious measurements of di-Higgs production at the colliders and DM direct detection experiments, such as XENONnT could further test the CP symmetry model.



The End. Thank you!