Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	0	00000000000000	00

# Flavor changing neutral Higgs meet top and tau at Hadron colliders

#### Rishabh Jain, Chung Kao and Phillip Gutierrez

#### Energy Frontiers in Particle Physics: LHC and Future Colliders

#### October 5, 2020





Flavor Violation in SM 0	gTHDM 000	Experimental Constraints 0	Collider Study 00000000000000	Conclusion 00

# Outline

Flavor Violation in SM

General Two Higgs Doublet Model

**Experimental Constraints** 

Collider study for  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t} \rightarrow t\bar{c}h^0 + \bar{t}ch^0 \rightarrow t\bar{c}\tau^+\tau^- + \bar{t}c\tau^+\tau^-$ 

Conclusion

Flavor Violation in SM ●	gTHDM 000	Experimental Constraints 0	Collider Study 000000000000000	Conclusion 00

- In SM, there are no tree level flavor violating neutral Higgs decays.
- At one loop, CKM Unitarity suppresses channels like  $t \rightarrow ch^0 \propto 10^{-15}$ .(Aguilar-Saavedra (2004))



•  $\sum_{j} V_{ij} V_{jk}^* = 0$  (Dattoli.et.al (1996))

Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	●00	0	00000000000000	00

# Higgs potential(Gunion and Haber, 2002)

$$m_{11}^{2}\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{1} + m_{22}^{2}\varphi_{2}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2}$$

$$- [m_{12}^{2}\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2} + h.c]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1}(\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{2}(\varphi_{2}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2})^{2}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{1})(\varphi_{2}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2}) + \lambda_{4}(\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2})(\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2})$$

$$+ \left\{\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{5}(\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2})^{2} + [\lambda_{6}(\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{1}) + \lambda_{7}(\varphi_{2}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2})]\varphi_{1}^{\dagger}\varphi_{2} + h.c\right\}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ○

(1)

EFPP

æ

Flavor Violation in SM gTHDM Experimental Constraints Collider Study Conclusion Conclusion of the constraints Collider Study Conclusion of the constraints Collider Study Conclusion of the constraints Collider Study Conclusion of the constraints of the constr

- $-i\operatorname{sgn}(Q_F)\rho^F A^0 \Big\} P_R F + \mathrm{H.c.}$
- ρ<sub>F</sub> is the Extra Yukawa matrix, with a possibility for off diagonal terms and a CP phase.

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう

Flavor Violation in SM 0	gTHDM 00●	Experimental Constraints 0	Collider Study 000000000000000	Conclusion 00

- This model leads to CP violation and can potentially enhance tree level flavor changing neutral Higgs currents at LHC.
- Current results favor mostly SM results, but Higgs-top flavor changing can potentially give new physics signature at LHC

• 
$$t \to ch^0$$
,  $\lambda_{tc} = \rho_{tc} \cos(\beta - \alpha)$  (Hou 1991)

•  $H \rightarrow tc$ ,  $\lambda_{tcH} = \rho_{tc} \sin(\beta - \alpha)$  (Altunkaynak.et.al 2015)

# Constraint on FCNH coupling

- Recent experiemental results from ATLAS (2019) put a tight constraint on λ<sub>tc</sub> and λ<sub>ct</sub>.
  - $\mathcal{B}(t \rightarrow ch^0) < 0.011$
  - $\sqrt{\lambda_{tc}^2 + \lambda_{ct}^2} < 0.064$
- If we choose  $\rho^F$  matrix to be hermitian, then  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  and  $B \overline{B}$  mixing requires  $|\rho_{ct}| < 0.1$
- If we choose ρ<sup>F</sup> matrix to be non hermitian then we must have |ρ<sub>ct</sub>| < 0.1, where ρ<sub>tc</sub> can be close to 1.

イロト イ理ト イヨト ・

Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	0	●00000000000000	00

# Channel of Interest



ミ▶ ≮ ミト ミ りへの

# **Other Studies**

- X.Chen and L.Xia, Phys Rev. D 93, no 11, 113010 (2016)
- M. Aaboud *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], JHEP 1905, 123 (2019)
- In this study we have done Parton level, and event level study with BDT.
- ► We have only considered leptonic channel with *e*µ state only.

#### Mass Reconstruction with Collinear Approximation



Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	0	000●0000000000	00

# Power of Collinear Approximation, Energy of Charm



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ のへで





# **Important Selection Cuts**

- $|M(j_1, j_2) m_W| \le 0.20 \times m_W$  and  $|M(b, j_1, j_2) m_t| \le 0.25 \times m_t$
- ▶ 40 GeV ≤  $M_T(\ell, \ell, E_T)$  ≤140 GeV and 80 GeV ≤  $M_T(c, \ell, \ell, E_T)$  ≤ 180 GeV
- $|M_{col}(\tau, \tau) m_h| \le 0.20 \times m_h$  and  $|M_{col}(c, \tau, \tau) m_t| \le 0.25 \times m_t$
- 29 GeV  $\leq E_c \leq 54$  GeV

#### Parton Level Estimates

$\sqrt{s}$	tt jj	bbjjττ	bbjjWW	tĪV	Total
13	0.67	0.021	$3.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.5 \times 10^{-3}$	0.69
14	0.78	0.025	$3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	0.8
27	2.91	0.074	$1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	$9.8 \times 10^{-3}$	2.99

Table: Background Cross sections after applying the mass cuts, in fb at PL.

Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	0	0000000●0000000	00

#### Parton Level Estimates

$\sqrt{s}(TeV)$	$h \to WW^*$	$h^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$
13	0.127	0.073
14	0.123	0.069
27	0.09	0.049

Table: Minimum  $\lambda_{tc}$  at  $\mathcal{L} = 139 f b^{-1}$  for 5  $\sigma$ .

$\sqrt{s}(TeV)$	$h \to WW^*$	$h^0  ightarrow  au^+  au^-$
13	0.06	0.033
14	0.057	0.031
27	0.041	0.023

Table: Minimum  $\lambda_{tc}$  at  $\mathcal{L} = 3000 fb^{-1}$  for 5  $\sigma$ .

æ

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほとう

#### Parton Level Estimates



Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	0	000000000000000	00



#### **Event Level Estimates**

	_			_	
$\sqrt{s}$	tt jj	bbjjττ	bbjjWW	ttV	Total
13	0.14	0.004	$6.7 \times 10^{-5}$	$7.1 \times 10^{-4}$	0.15
14	0.21	0.007	$9.9 \times 10^{-5}$	$9.9 \times 10^{-5}$	0.22
27	0.71	0.02	$3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	0.74

Table: Background Cross sections after applying the mass cuts, in fb at PL.

Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	0	0000000000000000	00

# BDT response



# BDT vs Cut Based (Event Level)

$\sqrt{s}$ (TeV)	Cut-Based	BDT
13	1.2	2.7
14	1.3	3.2
27	2.2	5.5

Table: Comparison between the statistical significance at  $\lambda_{tc} \sim 0.064$  and  $\mathcal{L} = 3000 f b^{-1}$  for cut-based and BDT.

Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	0	00000000000000	00

#### Event Level estimates

$\sqrt{s}$ (TeV)	$\mathcal{L} = 300 fb^{-1}$	$\mathcal{L} = 3000 fb^{-1}$
13	0.099	0.055
14	0.092	0.051
27	0.068	0.038

Table: 95 % C.L Limits on  $\lambda_{tc}$  at different center of mass energies and Integrated Luminosities.

$\sqrt{s}$ (TeV)	$\mathcal{L} = 300 fb^{-1}$	$\mathcal{L} = 3000 fb^{-1}$
13	0.21	0.088
14	0.16	0.082
27	0.11	0.061

Table: Minimum  $\lambda_{tc}$  for discovery at different center of mass energies and Integrated Luminosities.

Flavor Violation in SM	gTHDM	Experimental Constraints	Collider Study	Conclusion
0	000	o	0000000000000	00

# Event level estimates





# Conclusion

- The t → ch<sup>0</sup> decay is an exciting new physics mode to study extra Yukawa couplings.
- We have studied h<sup>0</sup> → τ<sup>+</sup>τ<sup>-</sup> → e<sup>±</sup>μ<sup>∓</sup> + MET decay of SM-Higgs. We find that ττ holds a very promising study channel and with the inclusion of Energy of Charm variable we can really improve the reach for the LHC. Same can be repeated for h<sup>0</sup> → γγ, ZZ\* → 4ℓ.
- Here we have constrained ourself just eµ, but remaining leptonic modes and hadronic modes of tau decay can further improve the reach of this channel.
- We also just used the traditional collinear approximation for tau reconstruction, with more powerful method like Missing Mass calculator can also improve the search.

Flavor Violation	in SM	gTHDM





#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR LISTENING

#### DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS?